



**To: Bay Area UASI Advisory Group**

**From: Teresa Serata, Director of Strategy and Grant Compliance**

**Date: March 29, 2012**

**RE: Item #3: Review of Hub Projects for FY 2012 UASI**

**Recommendation:**

Approve the FY 2012 UASI Hub projects as recommended by the Planning Hub members.

**Action and Discussion Item:**

Discussion and Action

**Background:**

On March 8, 2012, the Approval Authority approved the FY 2012 UASI Planning Hub allocations. In addition, the Approval Authority directed the Management Team to allocate any cost savings from the sustainment projects to the Planning Hubs.

The Planning Hub allocations are as follows:

<b>Planning Hub</b>	<b>Funding Amount (with BayLoop sustainment)</b>	<b>Percentage of Remaining Balance</b>
North Bay Hub	\$ 431,531	6.98%
East Bay Hub	\$1,385,816	22.41%
South Bay Hub	\$1,642,197	26.56%
West Bay Hub	\$2,723,786	44.05%
<b>TOTAL Planning Hubs</b>	<b>\$6,183,330</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Discussion/Description:**

From March 15, 2015 through March 21, 2012, members from each Planning Hub met, reviewed, discussed, and ranked the proposed projects. Each Planning Hub decided upon the approved projects. The attached list provides a section for each of the four Planning Hubs, separated by “above the line” projects, as well as “below the line” projects. The Planning Hubs recommend these “below the line” projects in the event that additional funds become available.



Advisory Group Chairperson Mike Sena's February 9, 2012 report to the Approval Authority advised that the Advisory Group will (a) review the Planning Hub project proposals to ensure regional risk and capability assessment gaps are addressed by the project, and (b) provide a list of recommendations for presentation at the April 12, 2012 Approval Authority meeting.

The FY 2012 UASI Strategy Implementation Guidance provides the following criteria, which the Advisory Group should apply in their review of the Planning Hub Projects:

### Planning Hub Project Review Criteria

- The project can be completed during the performance period of October 1, 2012 through November 30, 2013; **and**
- The project has a direct nexus to enhancing terrorism preparedness – the project has a direct nexus to either, preventing, protecting against, mitigating the damage from, responding to or recovering from threats or acts of terrorism, **and**
- The project will achieve at least one of the following:
  - Maintain an existing priority capability (e.g., it maintains a NIMS typed response team), or
  - Complete a self-contained project, or
  - Conclude a phase of a larger initiative, or
  - Finalize an overall initiative that is already underway (e.g., completing equipment upgrades for a Regional Communications System Authority); **and**
- To the extent the project builds **new** capabilities those capabilities are deployable outside of the Bay Area Urban Area to support FEMA Region IX and national efforts; **and**
- The proposed project provides clear linkage between the project and the listed Strategy objective(s) and how the project will support implementation of the objective(s), addresses capability gaps from the regional 2011 Bay Area capability assessment, and buys down regional risk, **and**
- Each project is regional insofar as it directly benefits 2 or more OA's in the Bay Area region, **and**
- The project budget is of a reasonable amount with each element of the project tied directly to a funding amount specified in the project budget section of the template.

All Planning Hub projects must satisfy all seven criteria in order to be put forward to the Approval Authority.

Attachment I is a list of projects by Planning Hub. Please note that the Rank# delineates the hub and whether the project is above the line or below the line, e.g., WBA1= West Bay Above the Line 1 or WBB1 = West Bay Below the Line 1.