

DRAFT Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy Implementation Guidance for Fiscal Year 2013

August <u>16 2013 2012</u>

For Official Use Only

ĺ

Table of Contents

1.0-BAY AREA HOMELAND SECURITY STRATEGY2
2.0UASI GRANT PROGRAM OVERVIEW2
3. 0 _THE 2013 FEDERAL BUDGET2
 4.9 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION PROCESS 5. ROLE OF THE WORK GROUPS
8. 5.0-ALLOCATION OF FUNDING
STEP 1 FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS
STEP 2 REGIONAL SUSTAINMENT PRIORITIES
STEP 3 MAJOR CITY ALLOCATIONS
STEP 4 ADDITIONAL UASI FUNDING
STEP 5 THE STATE'S 20% HOLD BACK
<u>96.0.</u> <u>TIMELINEWORK GROUP MEETINGS</u>
APPENDIX A PROJECT TEMPLATE

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES For Official Use Only

1

1.9 Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy

In 2012 the Bay Area Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) conducted a region-wide risk validation analysis and capabilities assessment along with capabilities assessments across the region's twelve counties and three major cities. /operational areas. The results of these efforts were used to update the Department of Homeland Security (DHS)mandated Urban Area Homeland Security Strategy for the Bay Area UASI in <u>November</u> of 20132012.

The 2013-2012 Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy (Strategy) is a comprehensive, data driven document that outlines the Bay Area's risks, capabilities, vision, structure, and goals and objectives for homeland security. Having such a strategy ensures the Bay Area is in the best possible position to clearly track and articulate its risks and capability needs to local leaders, the State of California and DHS when seeking resources to reduce that risk and satisfy those capability needs.

The following sets forth **interim** guidance for the Bay Area to implement the region's Strategy in the form of homeland security projects for FY 2013. This guidance is interim due to the fact that the FY 2013 federal DHS grant guidelines have not been issued and the Bay Area does not know its funding allocation at this time. Moreover, this guidance only sets forth the methodology to be used to allocate FY 2013 UASI funding. It does not include the <u>updated</u> rules governing allowable expenses under the UASI grant for FY 2013 such as personnel costs, etc. Therefore, this guidance will change to reflect such rules once final DHS guidelines are issued. Over the coming weeks and months, tThe Bay Area UASI Management Team will hold a series of meetings to review this<u>update</u> this guidance as needed and guidance in more detail and answer any questions stakeholders may have.

2.0 UASI Grant Program Overview

Since its inception in FY 2003, the intent of the UASI program has been to enhance regional terrorism preparedness in major metropolitan areas by developing integrated systems for terrorism prevention, protection, response, and recovery. Ultimately, the FY 2013 UASI program is intendedwill likely to provide financial assistance to address the unique regional, multi-discipline terrorism preparedness planning, organization, equipment, training, and exercise needs of high-threat, high-density urban areas.

Activities implemented with UASI funds <u>must</u> support terrorism preparedness. However, as noted in the *Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy*, many capabilities which support terrorism preparedness simultaneously support preparedness for other hazards, including natural disasters and <u>other</u> major accidents. Any FY 2013 Bay Area UASI funded projects <u>must</u> demonstrate the dual-use quality for any activities implemented that are not explicitly focused on terrorism preparedness.

3.0 2013 Federal Budget

It is expected that Congress will pass the DHS FY 2013 budget in after the November election and likely early in 2013, December of 2012, which will include funds for all state and local homeland security grants. Earlier passage of the DHS budget is possible and therefore the region must be prepared to initiate its selection of proposals under an earlier and shortened time frame. Details on addressing this contingency will be put forward by the Management Team.

4. 4.0 Proposal Submission Process

Regional projects may be developed from and/or solicited by operational areas, special districts, or sub-regions within the 12 county Bay Area UASI. Hub planners and Bay Area UASI Project Managers will engage in outreach to solicit proposals. Proposals are invited from government employees within the UASI footprint, including all work group and hub participants. All proposals should be submitted by the person who will be primarily responsible for project implementation and should have the approval of the relevant department head.

Proposals may only be submitted using an online form to the Management Team. Proposals may only be submitted from November 12-30, 2012. All proposals must be submitted by 5pm on Friday November 30th, and late proposals will be considered ineligible. The proposal template can be found in Appendix A of this guidance, and see section 8 (Step Four) below for funding criteria and section 10 for allowable expenses. Submitters are strongly encouraged to integrate Federal, State and local grant and general funds when developing FY 2013 projects, with an understanding that the rules governing the use of those funds may vary from funding source to funding source.

5. Role of the Work Groups

For FY 2013, the Bay Area is <u>once again</u>-utilizing regional subject matter expert working groups to <u>develop and reviewvet</u> proposed projects. <u>These projects will be developed</u> using the FY 2013 project template attached to this guidance as Appendix A. Each work group is assigned a goal or set of goals from the *Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy*. The work groups will <u>develop and reviewvet</u> regional projects designed to implement the goal(s) and objectives from the Strategy for which they have responsibility. These regional projects may be developed from and/or solicited by operational areas, special districts, or sub regions within the 12 county Bay Area UASI. <u>Work groups are strongly encouraged to integrate Federal, State and local grant and general funds when developing FY 2013projects, with an understanding that the rules governing the use of those funds may vary from funding source to funding source, with an understanding that the rules governing the use of those funds may vary from funding source.</u>

Work group composition:

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES For Official Use Only

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Bold

Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 4 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25"

Formatted: Normal, Justified, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Bold

Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 4 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Indent at: 0.25"

Formatted: Justified, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

3

• Work group meetings are open to all that would like to participate within the 12	
county Bay Area UASI	
Work group meetings will continue to be chaired by Project Managers	
representing the UASI Management Team.	
• The work groups and their areas of responsibility concerning projects for FY 2013	
<u>are:</u>	
Risk Management/Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Work	
Group	
 Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area 	Formatted
Strategy Goal 1.	
 Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure 	
protection projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 2.	
Communications Work Group	
Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3+	Formatted
Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group	
 Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4 	Formatted
 Regional training and exercise program projects under Bay Area 	
Strategy Goal 8.	
Regional Catastrophic Planning Team and Public Health Working Group	
 Regional public health and medical projects under Bay Area 	Formatted
Strategy Goal 5 • Regional community preparedness and emergency planning	
 Regional community preparedness and emergency planning projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 6 	
 Regional recovery projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 7. 	Formatted, List Daragraph, Space Defero, O
- Regional recovery projects under Day Area Strategy Goal 7.	Formatted: List Paragraph, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Bulleted + Level: 3 + Aligned
There is no limit as to the number of projects that each work group may develop and	at: 1.25" + Indent at: 1.5"
submit. Proposal vetting process:	Formatted: Font: Italic
	Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 1", Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt
Each work group will develop a list of prioritized projects vet projects based on	Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt
the Regional Risk Analysis Center gap scoreagainst funding criteria (see Section 8 below,	Formatted: Font: Italic
Allocation of Funding, Step 4 Additional UASI Funding). Work groups will score proposals as "highly qualified" "somewhat qualified" and "least qualified." In addition,	Formatted: Font: Italic
work groups may designate other criteria to vet projects as mutually agreed (e.g., provide	Formatted: Normal, Indent: Left: 0.5", Add
scalable solutions, leverage other funding sources). Work groups will also designate	space between paragraphs of the same style, Line spacing: single, No bullets or numbering
projects that are "shovel ready" and have the ability to be completed within six months of funding allocation.	Formatted: Normal, Justified, No bullets or numbering
	Formatted: Font: 12 pt
Work groups should meet in person at least once and no more than twice during	Formatted: Normal, Justified, Indent: Left:
the month of December 2012 to complete the vetting. Work group chairs will share an	0.25", Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Line spacing: single, No bullets or
excel spreadsheet of the proposed projects with the participants in advance of the	numbering
December meeting.	Formatted: Normal, Justified, No bullets or
	numbering

-Ideally, the vetting will be done by consensus. If and when a vote is needed, there will	Formatted: Justified, Space Before: 0 pt,
e one vote per operational area represented at the meeting. The General Manager will	After: 0 pt
esignate such persons in each work group based on recommendations from Advisory	
broup members. Such persons must be: subject matter experts, regular work group	
nembers, government employees, and not otherwise designated to participate in the hub	
ecision-making process.	
1 September, October, and November meetings, work groups are encouraged to prepare	Formatted: Justified
or the upcoming proposal submission and vetting process by discussing and building	
onsensus on their regional priorities. They are also encouraged to discuss the	
nplementation guidance, gap analysis, and Strategy.	
	Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt
Work Groups will provide scalable solutions and annotate projects that are-	Formatted: Normal, Justified, No bullets or numbering
Shovel Ready" or have the ability to be completed within 6 months of funding allocation.	Inditibering
lote that Training & Exercise proposals should not be vetted in this process. In the past, Il requests for training & exercise have been referred to the training and exercise	
rogram.	
Each Work Group will be responsible for identifying a Work Group lead POC to	Formatted: Font:
ne UASI Management Team. <u>The work groups and their areas of responsibility</u>	Formatted: No bullets or numbering
oncerning projects for FY 2013 are:	
he work groups and their areas of responsibility concerning projects for FY 2013 are:	Formatted: Font:
ne work groups and men areas of responsionity concerning projects for TT 2015 area	Formatted: List Paragraph, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt
Risk Management/Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Work	Formatted: No bullets or numbering
Risk Management/Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Work*-	Formatted: No bullets or numbering
Froup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1.	Formatted: No bullets or numbering
 Froup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects 	Formatted: No bullets or numbering
Froup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1.	Formatted: No bullets or numbering
Froup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2.	Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"
 Group Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group 	
Froup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2.	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering
 Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"
Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering
 Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"
Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering
 Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4 Regional training and exercise program projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 8. 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"
Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4 Regional training and exercise program projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 8.	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering
 Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4 Regional training and exercise program projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 8. Regional Catastrophic Planning Team and Public Health Working Group	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"
 Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional catastrophic Planning Team and Public Health Working Group Regional public health and medical projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 5. Regional community preparedness and emergency planning projects under Bay 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"
 Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional catastrophic Planning Team and Public Health Working Group Regional public health and medical projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 5. Regional community preparedness and emergency planning projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 6. 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"
 Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional catastrophic Planning Team and Public Health Working Group Regional public health and medical projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 5. Regional community preparedness and emergency planning projects under Bay 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"
 Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects inder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4 Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional Catastrophic Planning Team and Public Health Working Group Regional public health and medical projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 5 Regional community preparedness and emergency planning projects under Bay rea Strategy Goal 6 Regional recovery projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 7. 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"
 Aroup Regional planning and risk management projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 1. Regional intelligence, information sharing and infrastructure protection projects nder Bay Area Strategy Goal 2. Communications Work Group Regional communications projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 3. Regional Exercise & Training/CBRNE Working Group Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional CBRNE projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 4. Regional catastrophic Planning Team and Public Health Working Group Regional public health and medical projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 5. Regional community preparedness and emergency planning projects under Bay Area Strategy Goal 6. 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0" Formatted: No bullets or numbering Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"

5

Work groups are strongly encouraged to integrate Federal, State and local grant and general funds when developing FY 2013projects, with an understanding that the rules governing the use of those funds may vary from funding source to funding source, with an understanding that the rules governing the use of those funds may vary from funding source to funding source.

<u>56</u>.0__Role of the Hubs

<u>In FY13, tFor FY 2013, the Bay Area is once again-utilizing Hub-hub</u> groups to develop and reviewprioritize proposed projects developed-vetted by the working groups. The planning Hubs will be based on the geographical location of the agencies based on North, East, South and West Bay Areas.</u>

Hub composition:

- As in prior years, the hubs will be based on the geographical location of the agencies based on North, East, South and West Bay Areas
- Each Approval Authority representative may recommend five people to represent his or her operational area at the hub meetings. <u>ParticipationDiscussion at the</u> hub meetings will be limited to these representatives. However, hub representatives may invite individuals to speak to the specifics of particular proposals.
- Hub meetings will be facilitated by the hub planners funded in 2011and coordinated by the Management Team. In instances where such hub planners are not yet hired, a Management Team representative will provide facilitation.

Proposal prioritization process:

 <u>There is no limit as to the number of projects that each Hub may develop and</u> <u>submit.</u>

Hubs will meet in January 2013 to decide on the final prioritized list of projects for recommendation to the Advisory Group/Approval Authority. In advance of their meeting, hub facilitators will provide hub participants with all submitted proposals as well as the vetting information provided by the work groups.

Each hub will develop a list of prioritized projects based on regional need and local capabilities based on the set of goals from the *Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy*. Hubs may also designate other criteria as mutually agreed (e.g., provide scalable solutions, leverage other funding sources, and benefit the most operational areas.) Ideally priorization will be done by consensus, but voting may occur as needed.

<u>Hubs will be provided with an allocation based on the funding risk allocation</u> formula. Prioritized lists will include projects in order of importance to be funded by the allocation. In addition, each hub should also develop a list of "below the line" projects for if and when additional funds become available in the future. This should include six month time frame projects. Formatted: Left

Formatted: Normal, Justified, No bullets or numbering

Hub proposed projects will be developed using the FY 2013 project template attached to this guidance as Appendix A and sent to the working group with subject matter expertise on the project to evaluate and resubmit to the Hub for secondary review. Each Hub will review the overall lists of working group prioritized proposals and select projects from the list and develop prioritized Hub list based on regional need and local capabilities based on the set of goals from the *Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy*. Hubs will provide scalable solutions and annotate projects that are "Shovel Ready" or have the ability to be completed within 6 months of funding allocation. The Hubs will develop and review regional projects designed to implement the goal(s) and objectives from the Strategy. There is no limit as to the number of projects that each Hub may develop and submit. Each Hub will develop a list of prioritized projects based on the Regional funding risk allocation formula. However, total funding available to each Hub group may be capped as discussed later in this guidance.

Each HUB will be responsible for identifying a HUB lead POC to the UASI Management Team.

The Bay Area UASI Management Team will conduct meetings to review the project template and answer any questions of the participants. The Management Team will also prepare and submit the Approval Authority approved grant application to the State of California for submittal to DHS.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Left, Don't add space between paragraphs of the same style, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Hub proposed projects will be developed using the FY 2013 project template attached to this guidance as Appendix A and sent to the working group with subject matter expertise on the project to evaluate and resubmit to the Hub for secondary review.

Hubs are strongly encouraged to integrate Federal, State and local grant and general funds when developing FY 2013projects, with an understanding that the rules governing the use of those funds may vary from funding source to funding source.

<u>57</u>.0-__Role of the Advisory Group

For FY 2013, the Bay Area is once again utilizing<u>The</u>_the_UASI Advisory Group to-will review proposed projects. These projects will be reviewed that have been vetted by the working groups and prioritized by the hubs. The Advisory Group will provide a review based on the Regional Risk Analysis Center Gap Analysis and the set of goals from the *Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy*. The Advisory Group will review the list of Hub projects to reduce duplication of effort, confirm prioritization of projects based on attainable mitigation of regional risk, and review for compliance with the Strategy and applicable UASI, CalEMA and FEMA guidance. <u>The Advisory Group will conduct this</u> review in their February meeting and provide a list of recommendations to the Approval Authority for the March Approval Authority meeting.

The Bay Area Management Team will facilitate meetings to review the <u>Advisory Group's</u> review of <u>Hub</u> projects and answer any questions of the Advisory Group. The Management Team will also prepare and submit the <u>grant grant application</u> investment justifications to the State of California for submittal to DHS.

68. 0 Allocation of Funding

Since the FY 2013 budget has not been determined, the Bay Area will operate under the assumption that the FY 2013 funding will be approximately equal to the amount allocated in FY 2012 – 26,423,268 – until such time as DHS determines otherwise. This assumption will allow the region to have projects drafted and approved in the event the level of funding is at or near the FY 2012 amount. Such a process is far more efficient than assuming a very low level of funding and then trying to develop projects at the last moment when the actual level of funding is higher than the amount originally assumed. Based on a 26 million allocation, the Bay Area will allocate funding and develop projects using the following process:

Step 1 Federal Requirements

As in year's past, by statute, any UASI allocation <u>must-will likely be required to</u> set aside no less than 25% of the total allocation for law enforcement terrorism prevention activities (LETPA). <u>In the past, LETPA has includes included</u> the cost of intelligence analysts, counter terrorism training for law enforcement, etc. A detailed description of

LETPA and requirements across planning, organization, equipment, training and exercise solution areas will be outlined in greater detail in the final iteration of this guidance.

One of DHS' highest priorities in FY 2012 was the enhancement of state and major urban area fusion centers (i.e. the Northern California Regional Intelligence Center (NCRIC)). Although not a statutory requirement, in FY 2012, DHS required that in the state or urban area in which one of the DHS-recognized state or Major urban area fusion centers reside, at least one investment justification must address funding support for that recognized fusion center. However, there was no minimum percentage or dollar amounted associated with this requirement.

In FY 2011, the Bay Area UASI's Investment Justification number two satisfied the DHS fusion center requirement. It is most likely that this DHS mandate will continue into FY 2013 and the Bay Area will operate under that assumption unless informed otherwise by DHS. Virtually any funding set aside for fusion center activities would also satisfy part or all of the 25% set aside for LETPA activities (depending on the amount of funding allocated for fusion center activities and the total amount of UASI funding received by an urban area).

The last federal requirement is the State of California's potential hold back of up to 20% of the region's total UASI allocation for State projects. This issue is addressed in more detail in section 5, step 5 herein.

Step 2 Regional Sustainment Priorities

Once federal requirements are known and satisfied, the region will identify and provide sustainment funding for those regional projects the Bay Area has determined must be sustained for this fiscal year.

In the event the level of funding received by the Bay Area in FY13 is more than the amount received in FY12, the overall amount set aside for sustainment projects will not exceed that of FY12. In the event the level of funding received by the Bay Area in FY13 is less than that amount needed to fully sustain the projects listed for sustainment in FY 2013 than the amount received in FY12, the overall amount set aside for sustainment projects will be reduced by the same percentage.

eEach <u>sustainment</u> project will receive a percentage of the available<u>sustainment</u> funding equal to the current percentage it would receive if \$11,193,005 were allocated to the Bay <u>Area as outlined in the table on the next pagethe percentage it received in FY12 when the</u> <u>allocation was \$11,193,005.</u> - Since management and administration of the grant is an amount equal to 5% of the total allocation, the actual amount available for projects under an \$11,193,005 allocation would be \$10,633,355. The following are the FY 2013 sustainment projects for the Bay Area UASI<u>(to be confirmed by the Approval Authority)</u>, and the funding amounts they received in FY12:

Formatted: Highlight

÷

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES For Official Use Only Formatted: Font: Not Bold, No underline

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Goal	Goal Title & Projects	FY 2013 2012	% of Total		
		Funding	Funding		
1	Planning and Risk				
	Management				
	Risk Management Project	\$436,800	4.1%		
2	Information Analysis &				
	Infrastructure Protection	4			
	NCRIC	\$4,000,000	37.6%		
	COPLINK - San Mateo				
	Maintenance	\$360,000	3.4%		
	COPLINK - Santa Clara				
	Maintenance	\$290,000	2.7%		
	ARIES – Contra Costa				
	Maintenance				
		\$354,000	3.3%		
4	CBRNE Response	\$334,000	3.370		
-	Resource Typing Database	\$100,000	0.9%		
8	Regional Exercise				
	& Training				
	Regional T&E Team	\$1,692,555	15.9%		
	Training	\$1,700,000	16.0%		
	Exercises	\$1,700,000	16.0%		
Total P	roject Funding:	\$10,633,355			
Manag	ement & Administration	\$559,650	5%		
Grand	Total	\$11,193,005			

FY 2013 Sustainment Projects

Each regional sustainment project shall be submitted by the project lead for that sustainment project to the Bay Area UASI Management Team using the project template in Appendix A <u>during the proposal submission timeframe of November 12-30, 2012.</u> - The Management Team will ensure all elements of the sustainment projects meet UASI grant requirements for FY 2013. The Management Team will then submit the projects to the Advisory Group and Approval Authority for final approval.

Step 3 Major City Allocations

Assuming the Bay Area receives UASI funding in excess of \$11,193,005 of which \$10,633,355 is forthe amount set aside by the Approval Authority for pre-determined core regional projects as outlined above, the Bay Area will allocate <a href="mailto: not more than the one half> (amount TBD by Approval Authority) of this additional funding to the three major cities in the region: San Francisco, San Jose and Oakland. All three cities must have the same allocation amount and uUnder no circumstances will a single major city receive a UASI allocation in excess of \$1 million.

-under the following methodology:

Accounting first for the 5% for management and administration, if the amount of available UASI funding for projects is \$3 million or more above the \$10,633,355, each major city shall receive a \$1 million allocation. If the additional UASI funding for projects is less than \$3 million, each major city shall receive an equal share of the available project funding. If no UASI funding is available beyond the \$10,633,355 needed to sustain the projects listed above, the major cities shall receive no UASI allocation. Under no circumstances will a single major city receive a UASI allocation in excess of \$1 million.

All projects funded under the major city allocation must have a UASI project template from Appendix <u>BA</u>, or set of templates as the case may be, that accounts for the entire amount to be spent by each city. Major city projects may be developed to support any one or more of the goals and objectives in the *Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy*—and are <u>expected to have a regional benefit</u>. Each major city project must be vetted through the UASI Management Team for compliance with UASI policy. <u>All major city projects must</u> be submitted to the Management Team during the proposal submission timeframe of <u>November 12-30, 2012</u>. The Management Team will then submit the projects to the Advisory Group and Approval Authority for final approval.

Step 4 Additional UASI Funding

<u>T</u>Assuming the Bay Area receives UASI funding in excess of the amount necessary to fund the sustainment projects and the major city projects, the Bay Area will then allocate <u>**sat least** one half> (amount TBD by Approval Authority) of the excess funding in excess</u> of the amount necessary to fund sustainment projects to project funding to those projects developed by the region's work groups and Hubs-hubs. (As noted in Step 3 above, not more than <u>sone half> (amount TBD)</u> and not more than three million dollars of such funding may be allocated to the core cities).

Funding criteria includes:

-<u>The proposed project must that:</u>

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES For Official Use Only

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, No underline

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Bold, Underline, Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text

Formatted: Font: Bold, Underline

Formatted: List Paragraph, Left, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

relevant <u>and</u> /important based on the region's risk profile and/or that have a low level of ability based upon the results of the 2012 Bay Area regional capabilities	Formatted: List Paragraph, Left, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text
capability objectives will be identified in the updated	Formatted: Font: Bold, Underline
(1) The following are the priority capabilities, their corresponding goal and objective number in the Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy for FY 2013in early	Formatted: List Paragraph, Left, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"
(2) The proposed project provides clear linkages to the listed Strategy objective(s), how the project will support implementation of the objective(s), addresses	Formatted: List Paragraph, Left, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text
	Formatted: Font: Bold, Underline
down regional risk; and	Formatted: Font: Not Bold, No underline
, and the work groups are responsible for developing projects for their	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering
the Advisory Group for recommendation to the Approval Authority. In addition to completing an initiative, every project developed by a work group and Hub for	Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text
	Formatted: List Paragraph, Left, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start
	at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1-Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1)	
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1 Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1) Planning (Objective 1.1)	
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1 Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1) Planning (Objective 1.1) Goal 2 Enhance Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Capabilities	
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1 Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1) Planning (Objective 1.1) Goal 2 Enhance Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Capabilities Counter Terrorism and Law Enforcement (Objective 2.1)	
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1 Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1) Planning (Objective 1.1) Goal 2 Enhance Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Capabilities Counter Terrorism and Law Enforcement (Objective 2.1) Information Gathering and Recognition of Indicators and Warnings (Objective 2.2)	
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1 Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1) Planning (Objective 1.1) Goal 2 Enhance Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Capabilities Counter Terrorism and Law Enforcement (Objective 2.1) Information Gathering and Recognition of Indicators and Warnings (Objective 2.2)	
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1 Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1) Planning (Objective 1.1) Goal 2 Enhance Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Capabilities Counter Terrorism and Law Enforcement (Objective 2.1) Information Gathering and Recognition of Indicators and Warnings (Objective 2.2)	
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1 Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1) Planning (Objective 1.1) Goal 2 Enhance Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Capabilities Counter Terrorism and Law Enforcement (Objective 2.1) Information Gathering and Recognition of Indicators and Warnings (Objective 2.2) Critical Infrastructure Protection (Objective 2.5) Communications Work Group	
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1 Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1) Planning (Objective 1.1) Goal 2 Enhance Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Capabilities Counter Terrorism and Law Enforcement (Objective 2.1) Information Gathering and Recognition of Indicators and Warnings (Objective 2.2) Critical Infrastructure Protection (Objective 2.5) Communications Work Group Goal 3 Strengthen Communications Capabilities	
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1 Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1) Planning (Objective 1.1) Goal 2 Enhance Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Capabilities Counter Terrorism and Law Enforcement (Objective 2.1) Information Gathering and Recognition of Indicators and Warnings (Objective 2.2) Critical Infrastructure Protection (Objective 2.5) Communications Work Group	
Risk Management/Information Analysis Work Group Goal 1 Develop a Regional Risk Management and Planning Program Risk Management (Objective 1.1) Planning (Objective 1.1) Goal 2 Enhance Information Analysis and Infrastructure Protection Capabilities Counter Terrorism and Law Enforcement (Objective 2.1) Information Gathering and Recognition of Indicators and Warnings (Objective 2.2) Critical Infrastructure Protection (Objective 2.5) Communications Work Group Goal 3 Strengthen Communications Capabilities Communications (Objective 3.1)	

12

 On site Incident Management (Objective 4.7) Responder Safety and Health (Objective 4.8) Public Safety and Security (Objective (4.9) Regional Catastrophic Planning Team Goal 5 Enhance Medical, Public Health and Mass Care Preparedness Medical Surge (Objective 5.2) Fatality Management (Objective 5.7)
 Responder Safety and Health (Objective 4.8) Public Safety and Security (Objective (4.9) Regional Catastrophic Planning Team Goal 5 Enhance Medical, Public Health and Mass Care Preparedness Medical Surge (Objective 5.2)
 Public Safety and Security (Objective (4.9) Regional Catastrophic Planning Team <i>Goal 5 Enhance Medical, Public Health and Mass Care Preparedness</i> Medical Surge (Objective 5.2)
 — Regional Catastrophic Planning Team — Goal 5 Enhance Medical, Public Health and Mass Care Preparedness Medical Surge (Objective 5.2)
 Goal 5 Enhance Medical, Public Health and Mass Care Preparedness Medical Surge (Objective 5.2)
Medical Surge (Objective 5.2)
Eatality Management (Objective 5.7)
Formatted: Left
Goal 6 Strengthen Emergency Planning and Citizen Preparedness Capabilities
Emergency Public Information and Warning (Objective 6.2) Formatted: List Paragraph, Left, Numbered Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Sta at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" -
- Goal 7 Enhance Recovery Capabilities II Indent at: 0.5", Don't adjust space between
Economic and Community Recover (Objective 7.2) Destantian of Lifelings (Objective 7.4) Formatted: Font: Bold, Underline
• Kestoration of Literines (Objective 7.4)
Formatted: No underline
Once the projects are developed by the work groups within their allotted budget, the Advisory Group will then review these projects using the following criteria
the Advisory Group with their review those projects using the following enterta,
which shall be applied on a pass/fail or yes/no basis: Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian
Work Group, Hub and Advisory Group Project Review Criteria
(3) The proposed project has a direct nexus to enhancing terrorism preparedness – the project has a direct nexus to either, preventing, protecting
against, mitigating the damage from, responding to or recovering from threats or
acts of terrorism , ; and and
Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Sta
The project maintains an existing priority capability, e.g., maintains a NIMS //// at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" - Indent at: 0.5", Don't adjust space between
yped response team, or is a self contained project that will be completed or completes a
hase of a larger initiative or completes the overall initiative already underway, e.g.,
ompleting equipment upgrades for a Regional Communications System Authority, and Formatted: Font: Bold, Underline
The proposed project provides clear linkage between the project and Formatted: Font: Bold, Underline
the listed Strategy objective(s) and how the project will support implementation
of the objective(s), addresses capability gaps from the regional 2011 Bay Area capability assessment, and buys down regional risk, and
(4) Each project is regional insofar as it directly benefits 3-three or more OA's
operational areas in the Bay Area region;, and
🖌 🖌 Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Sta
at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" - Indent at: 0.5"
•(5) The project budget is of a reasonable amount with each element of the Formatted: List Paragraph, Left, Numbered
project tied directly to a funding amount specified in the project budget section of the template. Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, + Stat at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" - Indent at: 0.5", Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text
All projects must satisfy all five criteria in order to be put forward to the Hubshubs,
Advisory Group and Approval Authority. In the event any project does not meet all Formatted: Font: Bold, Underline

Advisory Group and Approval Authority. In the event any project does not meet all the criteria, the Advisory Group will provide a written explanation to the relevant Hub hub outlining the basis for why any one or all of the criteria are not satisfied. The

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES For Official Use Only

13

Formatted: Font: Bold, Underline

Formatted: Font: Bold

<u>Advisory Group will then provide the hub with and the Hub will be given</u> time to update the proposal with the work group, to be set by the Advisory Group, to amend the project and resubmit it to the Advisory Group for a second review.

The level of effort by work groups and hubs to vet and select proposals as described in this implementation guidance is not appropriate in the event that the amount of funds available is significantly lower than in prior years. If the event that the amount of money in excess of the amount set aside by the Approval Authority for pre-determined core regional projects is under <<u>\$1 million> (amount TBD by Approval Authority)</u>, then the Bay Area UASI General Manager will allocate such funds for regional purposes at his discretion.

In the event available funding for projects is of such a small amount that allocating the+ funding across all of the goals and objectives listed above would prove unworkable, as determined by the Advisory Group, the funding shall be allocated among the goals based on a methodology below.

For the Funding Reallocation Process:

- 1. Jurisdictions and programs should have the ability to transfer funds to another approved project within the existing allocation of their agreement to a project that can be completed within the closing period of the grant. If the jurisdiction or program does not have any projects that can be completed then;
- 2. The jurisdiction or program should have the unspent grant allocation transferred to another jurisdiction or program within their Hub that has projects that can be completed within the closing period of the grant. Jurisdictions or programs within a Hub may neutrally transfer funds from one grant year to another grant year. If the jurisdictions or programs within a Hub do not have any projects that can be completed then;
- 3. The Hub should have the unspent grant allocation transferred to another Hub's approved projects that can be completed within the closing period of the grant. Hubs may neutrally transfer funds from one grant year to another grant year.

The UASI Management Team should assist jurisdictions and Hubs with:

- a. Identifying projects that are on the list from (Item #1 above)
- Identifying opportunities for potential neutral transfers of funding from one grant year to another
- c. Monitoring the status of time sensitive projects
- d. Ensuring that UASI documentation for the transfer of funding is completed as expeditiously as possible.

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES For Official Use Only Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Justified

Any unspent sustainment single project funding over \$250,000 should be distributed proportionately to each Hub (based on the current Hub funding risk allocation formula) for projects that can be completed within the closing period of the grant. Step 5 The State's 20% Hold Back Formatted: Indent: First line: 0" Finally, tThe State of California is authorized to hold back up to 20% of the Bay Area's Formatted: Justified UASI allocation whatever the final funding level for 2013 turns out to be. In the event the Bay Area receives \$26 million in UASI funding, the State may retain up to \$5.2 million of that funding. Footnote: In both FY 2011 and FY 2012 CalEMA only held back 17% of UASI funds. In addition, in both fiscal years, CalEMA, along with all the states that have UASI jurisdictions, was required by DHS to work with each California UASI to develop projects for funding using the 17% of UASI hold-back funds. Formatted: Justified, Indent: First line: 0" Formatted: Justified

69.0 _ Work Group Meeting Timelines

The Bay Area UASI Management Team will host a series of work group meetings to review this Strategy Implementation Guidance and the FY 2013 project template. These meetings will occur as outlined in the draft timeline below.

Formatted: Highlight

October	Management Team issues FY13 implementation	 Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold
2012Activity	guidance, hosts kick off meeting/ webinar When	Formatted Table
2012Activity Work Group Meetings #1 Training on Strategy Implementation Guidance, Project Template, & Evaluation Criteria November 8, 2012Work Group Meetings #2 Vet and Prioritize Projects	TBD Approval Authority reviews Bay Area Homeland Security Strategy TBD	Formatted Table Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold
November 12-30, 2012North Bay Hub Meeting #3 Vet and Prioritize Projects December 2012East Bay Hub Meeting #3 Vet and Prioritize Projects	Proposals may be submitted to the Management Team by any Bay Area UASI stakeholder. Includes proposals for sustainment and core city funding projects. TBD Workgroups vet proposals TBD	

Draft FY 2013 UASI Grant Timeline

January 2013 South	Hubs prioritize proposals
Bay Hub Meeting	TBD
#3 Vet and Prioritize	
Projects	
February 2013West	Advisory Group reviews vetted and prioritized
Bay Hub Meeting	proposed projects
#3 Vet and Prioritize	TBD
Projects	
March	Approval Authority reviews and approves vette
2013Advisory	and prioritized proposed projects recommended
Group review	by the Advisory Group Mar 28, 2013
vetted & prioritized	
proposed projects	
April 2013 Approval	Estimated deadline for application to Cal EMA
Authority review	(approximate date pending release of FEMA
& approve vetted &	guidelines & Cal EMA timeline)Apr 11, 2013
prioritized proposed	
projects	
recommended by the	
Advisory Group	
Prepare FY 2013	Apr 18, 2013(approximate date pending release
UASI grant	of FEMA guidelines & CalEMA timeline)
application for	
submittal to	
CalEMA	

1

10. Allowable Spending Guidelines	Formatted: Left
Provide most recent guidance in absence of DHS guidance for FY13.	Formatted: Highlight

DRAFT

Appendix A

FY 2013 PROJECT PROPOSAL FORM

** not yet updated. New fields to be included:

- Name, title, telephone, and email address of Department Head
 Has Department Head approved this proposal (y/n)
- Name of Project Lead (person primarily responsible for implementation)
- Relevant Hub
- Shipping and taxes, performance bond for equipment projects
- Space for amount awarded

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: Highlight Formatted: List Paragraph

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

I.A. Primary Poin	t of Contact Information:	
Name		
Agency		
Position Title		
Phone		
Fax		
Email		
I.B Project Name		
F Co	Inf ion	
I.C Total Project	Cost:	Annual Sustainment Costs:
Request	Inf n:	

I.D MISSION AREAS						
Place an X in the box(s) that correspond to the mission area your project supports						
Prevent	Protect	Respond	Recover	Mitigation		
I.E Description - Bri	iefly describe exact	tly what the project	entails and what w	vould be		
accomplished by fu	nding the project. I	Describe what, if an	y, existing capabili	ties the Bay		
Area Region curren	tly has in place cor	cerning this projec	t such as any plans	developed,		
training delivered,	or equipment purc	hased, etc.				

DRAFT

II. ALIGNMENT WITH THE BAY AREA HOMELAND SECURITY STRATEGY

II.A B	AY A	REA SECURITY G	OALS AND OBJECTIVES			
Check the Bay Area goal(s) that this project directly supports.						
1		Develop a Region	nal Risk Management and Plannin	g Program		
2		Enhance Informa	ation Analysis and Infrastructure l	Protection Capabilities		
3		Strengthen Com	nunications Capabilities			
4			ical, Biological, Radiological, Nucle			
			nse, and Decontamination Capabiliti	ies		
5		Enhance Medica	l and Public Health Preparedness			
6		Strengthen Emer	gency Planning and Citizen Prepa	redness		
7	7 D Enhance Recovery Capabilities					
8		Enhance Homela	nd Security Exercise, Evaluation a	and Training Programs		
I I.B List ea	ch Ob	jective (by numbe	er) from the Bay Area Homeland S	ecurity Strategy the project supports, and	1	
explain hor	v the	project supports	the Objective and addresses gaps	from the 2012 regional capability		
assessmen	. <mark>H.B</mark>	List each Objectiv	e and Implementation Step (by nu	umber) from the Bay Area Homeland Comm	nent [J1]: Based upon past experience with	
Security Strategy the project supports, and explain how the project supports the Objective and addresses						
aps from the 2011 regional capability assessment.						
	<u>E</u>	XPLAIN HOW THE	LIST THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING	EXPLAIN WHICH GAP(S) FROM THE 2011		
		ROJECT SUPPORTS	FROM THE PROJECT THAT WILL BE	REGIONAL CAPABILITIES ASSESSMENT THIS		
OBJECTIVE		PLEMENTATION OF	APPLIED TO EACH	PROJECT WILL HELP ADDRESS. EXPLAIN WHICH	ed Table	
OBJECTIVE		THE OBJECTIVE	OBJECTIVEEXPLAIN HOW THE	GAP(S) FROM THE 2011 REGIONAL		

OBJECTIVE	<u>THE OBJECTIVE</u> Implementation Step(s)	OBJECTIVE PROJECT SUPPORTS IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OBJECTIVE	CAP(S) FROM THE 2011 REGIONAL CAPABILITIES ASSESSMENT THIS PROJECT WILL HELP ADDRESS.

DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES For Official Use Only

III. FUNDING

III.A Provide the Proposed Funding amount for this project towards applicable Planning, Organization, Equipment, Training, and Exercises (POETE) elements. (*Please check the appropriate box(es) on the left side for all that may apply*). Also, for each funding area selected, provide a brief narrative describing the items or services being funded.

Element		PROPOSED FUNDING	
	Planning	\$	
	Organization	\$	
	Equipment	\$	
	Training	\$	
Exercises		\$	
To	DTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$	

If applicable, provide the proposed funding amount from the project that can be obligated towards Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Activities (LETPA) funding.

Plannin

Comment [cs2]: Include language about EOP updates demonstrating that they are following grant requirements of FY 12

Organization

Equipment List the equipment and the Authorized Equipment List number from the <u>www.rkb.us</u> website

Comment [cs3]: MAKE SURE YOU INCLUDE THE QUANTITY AND COST BREAKDOWN OF EACH ITEM. Also include "typing" information as required by FY 12

Training

Exercises

 III. B Other Source(s) of funding that is being requested or utilized for this project (check the appropriate box(es) on the left side)

 FUNDING SOURCE
 PROPOSED FUNDING

FUNDING SOURCE		F ROPUSED FUNDING	
	SHSP	\$	
	ССР	\$	
	MMRS	\$	
□ General Funds		\$	
Other Grant Funds		\$	
TOTAL OTHER FUNDING		\$	

Other Funds: Explain how any non-UASI funds, such as general funds, SHSP, ASPR grants, etc., will be used to implement this project.

H	I.C. For each selected Strategy Objective(s)/Target Capability listed in
0	uestion II.B., provide the proposed funding amount to be obligated from this
-	roject. The total funding listed for all Objectives/Capabilities should equal the
-	tal funding for the project.

Strategy Objective/Target Capabilities (Capabilities Selected Must Match with Section II.B.)	Amount of Funding per Objective/Capability
Panning	
Communications	
Community Preparedness and Participation	
Risk Management	
Intelligence and Information Sharing and Dissemination	
Information Gathering and Recognition of Indicators and	
Warnings	
Intelligence Analysis and Production	
Cpunter Terror Investigation and Law Enforcement	
CBRNE Detection	
Critical Infrastructure Protection	
Fpod and Agriculture Safety and Defense	
Epidemiological Surveillance and Investigation	
Laboratory Testing	
On Sight Incident Management	
Emergency Operations Center Management	
Critical Resource Logistics and Distribution	
Velunteer Management and Donations	
Responder Safety and Health	
Emergency Public Safety and Security	
Environmental Health	
Explosive Device Response Operations	
Fire Incident Response Support	
WMD and Hazardous Materials Response and	
Decontamination	
Gitizen Evacuation and Shelter in Place	
Isolation and Quarantine	
Sparch and Rescue (Land-Based)	
Emergency Public Information and Warning	
Emergency Triage and Pre-Hospital Treatment	
Medical Surge	
Medical Supplies Management and Distribution	
Medical Supplies Management and Distribution Mass Prophylaxis	
Mass Frophylaxib Mass Care (Sheltering, Feeding, and Related Services)	
Fatality Management	
Structural Damage Assessment	
Restoration of Lifelines	
Eponomic and Community Recovery	

IV. Project Impacts and Outcomes

IV.A Project Outcomes: Describe the <u>regional</u> outcomes and benefits that will be achieved as a result of this project. When describing the regional outcomes and benefits, describe the number of operational areas in the region that will directly benefit from this project. The outcomes and benefits should demonstrate improvement towards building or maintaining capabilities and reducing risk.

V. Project Management

V.A Identify up to ten milestones, with start and end dates, which will be achieved within the twenty month (20) period of performance under the FY 2013 UASI grant. No start date should begin before January 1, 2014 and no end date should end after September 30, 2015.			
MILESTONE NUMBER	MILESTONE NAME/DESCRIPTION	Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			

8		
9		
10		

V.B Project Stat	tus. Place an X in the corresponding box:		
	This project is a maintenance project.		
	This project is a self-contained project.		
	This project is part of an ongoing initiative.		
	This is a "Shovel Ready" Project		
	This project can be completed within 6 months of funding allocation		
Explain if and h	now this is a "Shovel Ready" project and whether this project can		
	hin 6 months of funding being allocated to the project. Explain how		
	s project will either maintain a capability in the region, complete a		
self-contained	project, or complete a larger initiative or a phase of a larger initiative		
	roject is a part of? Explain how the project will result in completion.		
equ			
Inf			

V.C Sustainment: Describe the long-term approach to sustaining the capabilities maintained or enhanced by this project without UASI funds once the grant performance period is over. To the extent funds are needed for sustainment in the future, will future grants be needed for sustainment or will local funds be used? If no funds are needed, explain why.

